

1000381098

tesis

SF 458 .B3 C4 1995



1000381098

Intraspecific resource partitioning by Hampala macrolepidota(Van Hasselt) in lotic and lentic environment of Kenyir reservoir, Malaysia / Ahmed Jalal Khan Chowdhury.

PERPUSTAKAAN

KOLEJ UNIVERSITI SAINS & TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA 21030 KUALA TERENGGANU

	30 KUALA TERENGO	
1	0003810	98
		<u></u>
	<u> </u>	

Lihat sebelah



1000381098

penduntanaan Sessi penduntanan kanaan namb



INTRASPECIFIC RESOURCE PARTITIONING BY Hampala macrolepidota (VAN HASSELT) IN LOTIC AND LENTIC ENVIRONMENT OF KENYIR RESERVOIR, MALAYSIA

AHMED JALAL KHAN CHOWDHURY



MASTER OF SCIENCE UNIVERSITI PERTANIAN MALAYSIA 1995

1000381098



INTRASPECIFIC RESOURCE PARTITIONING BY Hampala macrolepidota (VAN HASSELT) IN LOTIC AND LENTIC ENVIRONMENT OF KENYIR RESERVOIR, MALAYSIA

AHMED JALAL KHAN CHOWDHURY

Thesis Submitted in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science in the Faculty of Fisheries and Marine Science Universiti Pertanian Malaysia

December 1995

DEDICATION

This work is dedicated to my parents, wife and son.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost, I would like to express my gratitude to my Chairman of the supervisory committee, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Hj. Mohd. Azmi Bin Ambak for his invaluable contribution, inputs and careful supervision of my Master programme in the Universiti Pertanian Malaysia. Without his constant encouragement this thesis would never have been written. I would like to extend my most sincere gratitude and deep appreciation to late Professor Dr. A. K. M. Mohsin for his profound sympathy and guidance during my study.

I am also indebted to the other members of my committee, Associate Professor Dr. Fatimah M. Yusoff and Dr. Sakri bin Ibrahim for their encouragement, meaningful comments and review of my work throughout the study period.

Assistantship under IRPA project 50258-J3 which was kindly awarded to me during the tenure of my candidature as M.S. Student. It is indeed not an exaggeration to say that this study would never have been possible without this financial assistance. This kind of magnanimous support will hopefully harbinger in a new era in my life and continue to remain as a perennial source of inspiration. I wish to express my deep thanks to all the staff of FPSS in Terengganu for their hospitality and for their enthusiastic acceptance of me as part of the community. Acknowledgements are also due to the following friends, colleagues and well-wishers who were instrumental and have provided valuable inputs directly or indirectly in the presentation of this

dissertation: Dr. M.S. Khan, Mr. Zaidi Zakaria, Mr. M.A.Rouf, Mr. Tafazzal Hoque, Mr. A.Hadi, Mr. Yusaini, Mr. Akesah, Mr. Mannaf, Mr. Matnong, Mr. Sukiman, Mr. Sulaiman, Mr. Hosni, Mr. Mokhtar, Mr. Johari, Mr. K.Kasim, Mr. Shahbuddin, Mr. Shamsuddin, Mr. Sukree, Miss Rohaiza, Miss Eiza, Miss Rohana, Miss Rose, Mrs. Marhaini and Mrs. Farida Shamsuddin.

I also appreciate the assistance of Assoc. Prof. Dr. Hj. Mohd. Zaki Mohd. Said, Dean of the Faculty of Fisheries and Marine Science and the Dean of Graduate School, Universiti Pertanian Malaysia. I would also like to extend heartfelt thanks to Dr. Md. Lokman Husain, Head of the Department FPSS, Terengganu and Mr. Abdul Aziz bin Bahsir, Senior Assistant Registrar, Graduate School, UPM, who helped me in every possible way.

I wish to extend my gratitude to the Department of Fisheries and Ministry of Fisheries and Livestock, Bangladesh for their support and encouragement for my studying in Malaysia. I am sincerely grateful to Mr. Daud (David Harrison), a teacher in English, Pusat Pengajian Matrikulasi, UPM, Terengganu for editing the manuscript.

Words are not enough to express my heartfelt feelings to my parents for providing me with their untiring guidance and support since my childhood. Last but not least, special note of thanks is due to my wife, Luna, whose inspirational role and enthusiasm helped boost my mental strength towards achieving the noble cause of education.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKI	NOWLEDGEMENTS iii
LIST	OF TABLES
LIST	OF FIGURES xii
LIST	OF PLATES xiv
ABST	RACT xvi
ABST	RAK xix
СНАЕ	TER
I	INTRODUCTION
	Background of the Study
	Statement of the Problem
	Supression of Riverine Fish Populations
	Changes in Species Composition
	Decrease in Fish Production
	Alteration of Habitat
	Alteration of Tail-Waters
	Drowning of Spawning Ground
	Resource Competition
	Significance Of the Study
	Objectives of the Study 18

II	LITERATURE REVIEW19
	Resource Partitioning
	Definition
	Natural History
	Habitat Partitioning
	Food Partitioning
	Temporal Partitioning
	Ecological Segregation in the Tropics
	Predation
	Competition
	Intraspecific Resource Partitioning
III	THE STUDY AREA
	Selection of Sites
	Description of the Sampling Stations
	Station 1
	Station 2
	Materials and Methods
	Transparency
	Temperature
	Dissolved Oxygen
	Conductivity
	pH
	D11

	Ortho-phosphate
	Nitrate-nitrogen35
	Water Level
	Rainfall
	Results
	Transparency
	Temperature
	Dissolved Oxygen
	pH
	Conductivity
	Ortho-phosphate
	Nitrate-nitrogen
	Water Level
	Rainfall
	Discussion
IV	HABITAT PARTITIONING
	Macrohabitat
	Aquatic Macrophytes5
	Microhabitat
	Objective
	Materials and Methods5
	Electrofishing5

	Underwater Observations
	Gill net
	Macrohabitat
	Microhabitat
	Statistical Analysis on Habitat Overlap
	Results
•	Electrofishing72
	Underwater Observations
	Gill net
	Microhabitat
	Macrohabitat
	Habitat overlap87
	Discussion
V	FOOD PARTITIONING
	Objective
	Materials and Methods
	Gut Content Analysis
	Numerical Score
	Volumetric
	Frequency of Occurrence
	Relative Importance Index
	Statistical Analysis by Niche Breadth and Dietary Overlap

	Results	118
	Discussion	123
VI	TEMPORAL PARTITIONING	126
	Objective	127
	Materials and Methods	127
٠	Results	128
	Diel Pattern of Feeding Activity	129
	Seasonal Pattern of Feeding Activity	132
	Discussion	135
VII	SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION	138
	Summary	138
	Conclusion	144
	Further Study	145
BIBLI	OGRAPHY	146
APEN	DICES	165
	Additional Tables	165
171T A 1		170

LIST OF TABLES

m			•	
ŧ	9	h	Ŀ	Ω
1	C 1		В.	١.

1	Microhabitat Characteristics of Lotic and Lentic Environment
2	Logarithmic Abundance Categories Used in Estimates of Abundance of Numerically Dominant Fish Species (Russ, 1985) 65
3	Description of the Gill Nets
4	Selection of Habitat Types for Different Sizes <i>Hampala macrolepidota</i> by Electrofishing in Lotic and Lentic Habitat
5	Logarithmic Abundance Categories Used (Russ, 1985) in Estimates of Numbers of Different Size of Hampala macrolepidota
6	Length-Frequency Distribution of <i>Hampala macrolepidota</i> in Different Depth of Lotic and Lentic Habitat
7	Flow of Current in Lotic and Lentic Environment
8	Spatial Overlap of Different Size Classes in Each Depth of Lotic Habitat 88
9	Spatial Overlap of Different Size Classes in Lotic Habitat
10	Spatial Overlap of Different Size Classes in Each Depth of Lentic Habitat 90
1	Spatial Overlap of Different Size Classes in Lentic Habitat
12	Overlap of Different Size Classes <i>H. macrolepidota</i> in Each Depth of Lotic and Lentic Habitat
13	Overlap of Different Size Classes H. macrolepidota in Lotic and Lentic Habitat 93
14	Overlap Between Males and Females <i>H. macrolepidota</i> of Different Size Classes in Each Depth of Lotic Habitat
15	Overlap Between Males and Females <i>H. macrolepidota</i> of Different Size Classes in Lotic Habitat

10	Different Size Classes in Each Depth of Lentic Habitat	. 96
17	Overlap Between Males and Females <i>H. macrolepidota</i> of Different Size Classes in Lentic Habitat	. 97
18	Niche Breadth of Different size classes of Hampala macrolepidota in Kenyir Reservoir	165
19	Relative Importance Index of Small Sized H.macrolepidota	166
20	Relative Importance Index of Medium Sized H.macrolepidota	167
21	Relative Importance Index of Large Sized H.macrolepidota	168
22	Diet Overlap of Different sizes Hampala macrolepidota in Kenir Reservoir	169

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure

1	Map of Peninsular Malaysia Showing Kenyir Reservoir
2	Map of Kenyir Reservoir
3	Different Sizes Hampala macrolepidota
4	Location of the Sampling Stations
5	Vertical Profile of Dissolved Oxygen in Lotic Habitat
6	Vertical Profile of Dissolved Oxygen in Lentic Habitat
7	Vertical Profile of Temperature in Lotic Habitat
8	Vertical Profile of Temperature in Lentic Habitat
9	Water Level Fluctuation in Kenyir Reservoir
10	Monthly Rainfall in Kenyir Reservoir
1 1	Vertical Distribution for Different Sizes of
	H. macrolepidota in Lentic Habitat
12	Horizontal Distribution forf Different Sizes of
	H. macrolepidota in Lentic Habitat
13	Vertical Distribution for Different Sizes of
	H.macrolepidota in Lotic Habitat
14	Horizontal Distributions for Different Sizes of
	H.macrolepidota in Lotic Habitat
15	Seasonal Distributions of <i>H. macrolepidota</i> , in Lotic and Lentic Habitat 82

16	Macrohabitat Used by Different Sizes of
	H.macrolepidota in Lotic Habitat
17	Substrates Used by Different Sizes of
	H.macrolepidota in Lotic Habitat
18	Substrates Used by Different Sizes of
	H.macrolepidota in Lentic Habitat
19	Relation Between Rainfall and Occurrence of H. macrolepidota in
	Lotic Habitat
20	Relation Between Rainfall and Occurrence of H. macrolepidota in
	Lentic Habitat
21	Relative Importance Index of the Common Food Items
	in the Diets of Different Sizes of H. macrolepidota
22	Relative Importance Index of Dominant Group of Insects
	in the Diets of Different Sizes of H. macrolepidota
23	Diel Pattern of Feeding Activity for Different Sizes of
	H. macrolepidota in Lotic Habitat
24	Diel Pattern of Feeding Activity for Different Sizes of
	H.macrolepidota in Lentic Habitat
25	Seasonal Pattern of Feeding Activity for Different Sizes of
	H.macrolepidota in Lotic Habitat
26	Seasonal Pattern of Feeding Activity for Different Sizes of
	H.macrolepidota in Lentic Habitat

LIST OF PLATES

Plate	
1	Kenyir Reservoir (Near Gawi Dam)6
2	Kenyir Reservoir (Near Kenyir Dam) 6
3	Hampala macrolepidota in Kenyir Reservoir
4	Potential for Ecotourism
5	Lotic Environment
6	Rapid Zone
7	Riffle zone
8	Pool Zone
9	Lentic Environment (Littoral)
10	Lentic Environment (Open water)
11	Electrofishing Boat
12	Boat Mounted Electroshocker
13	Sampling by Boatmounted Electroshocker
14	Catch During Electrofishing
15	Fishes in Rapid and Riffle Zone
16	Fishes in Pool Zone
17	Sampling by Gill Net (Lotic Habitat)
18	Sampling by Gill Net (Lentic Habitat)
19	Dominant Macrophyte in Kenyir reservoir
20	Sedgewick-Rafter Counting Cell

21	Identifying Stomach Contents in the Sampling Site	114
22	Dominant Food of Hampala macrolepidata	
	(Odonata, Coleoptera)	120
23	Secondary Food of Hampala macrolepidota	
	Juvenile fish (Tor tambroides)	120

4

Abstract of the thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Pertanian Malaysia in

fulfillment of the requirements for the Degree of Master of Science.

INTRASPECIFIC RESOURCE PARTITIONING BY Hampala macrolepidota (VAN HASSELT) IN LOTIC AND LENTIC ENVIRONMENT OF KENYIR

RESERVOIR, MALAYSIA.

by

AHMED JALAL KHAN CHOWDHURY

December 1995

Chairman: Assoc. Prof. Dr. Hj. Mohd. Azmi Bin Ambak

Faculty: Fisheries and Marine Science

A study of Intraspecific Resource Partitioning on a tropical sport fish 'Side

bar barb' Hampala macrolepidota van Hasselt, was carried out in lotic and lentic

habitat at Kenyir Reservoir, Terengganu, Malaysia.

Physico-chemical regimes of Kenyir Reservoir were also studied to determine

the species ecological requirements. The water quality data showed that Kenyir

Reservoir is suitable for fish culture. The most vital ecological factors, temperature

and dissolved oxygen levels, were within the acceptable range for fish until 10.0 m

depth. Waterlevel and rain fall both showed significant (P<.05) effects on the

availability of fish in both habitats.

xvi

A significant difference (P<.05) of fish abundance have been observed in different depths of both habitat. Medium and large size fishes were ubiquitous in the study area. In the lotic habitat, medium and larger size fishes mostly used pool and riffle zones as their macrohabitat, whereas smaller size fishes preferred the rapid zone. In the lentic habitat, medium and large size fishes were found mostly around the submerged trees of the littoral area. Significantly, higher proportion (P<.05) of larger fish were available in the lotic than in the lentic habitat throughout the season. In lotic habitat cobble, boulder and bedrock were predominantly used as substrate by small, medium and large size fishes respectively, whereas sand and clay were predominantly used by medium and large size in lentic habitat. Openwater area had remarkably less density of fish and availability of all sizes in both littoral and open water showed significant difference (P<.05).

Habitat overlap values responsible for diet variation and food partitioning that evolved according to both temporal and ontogenic trends indicated that different size classes reduce spatial overlap by occupying different habitats and among depths within habitat. Segregation of sexes ($\alpha_{ws} < \alpha_{w}$) indicated that overlap within a habitat may be reduced by spatial separation of sexes.

Niche breadth (B_i < 2) indicated that all size of *Hampala macrolepidota* appeared to be extreme specialist feeders. Occurrence of food partitioning was not found extensively between size classes of *Hampala macrolepidota* in Kenyir Reservoir. Diet overlap α_W quantifying the sharing of food resources between the different size classes and high dietary overlap ($\alpha_W > .60$) between them indicates biological significance.

Individual size and diel period were the main factors responsible for diet variation and food partitioning that evolved according to cyclic (temporal) trends. Diel patterns of activity showed that *Hampala macrolepidota* was not a continuous feeder. It was observed that peak feeding time for small size was at noon (1000-1200 hours), for medium (2000-2200 hours) and for large size was at night (2200-2400 hours). Feeding activity changed with the different season. Feeding activity was comparatively high during dry season (nonmonsoon) and low during wet (monsoon) period. Thus, the feeding activity of different size fishes at different times would suggest that temporal differences could have a significant effect in partitioning food resources.

Nevertheless, habitat and temporal partitioning seemed as important as food partitioning in structuring the different sizes of *Hampala macrolepidota* in the lentic and lotic habitat of Kenyir Reservoir.