



University of  
**Reading**

1100094117

Pusat Pembelajaran Digital Sultanah Nur Zahirah,  
Universiti Malaysia Terengganu.



thesis  
QH 450 .I4 2015



1100094117

## Analysis of SIRR22 gene and its role in seed wound response and manipulation of gene expression / Iffah Hazirah Mohd Nawi.

PUSAT PEMBELAJARAN DIGITAL SULTANAH NUR ZAHIRAH  
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA TERENGGANU (UMT)  
21030 KUALA TERENGGANU

11|00094117

**1100094117**

Lihat Sebelah

# Analysis of *SlRR22* gene and its role in seed wound response and manipulation of gene expression

Iffah Hazirah Mohd Nawi

Thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the award of the  
degree of Doctor of Philosophy

School of Food and Nutritional Sciences

University of Reading, UK

March 2015

## Contents

List of Figures.....	iv
List of Tables.....	x
Declaration .....	ix
Acknowledgement.....	xii
Abbreviations.....	xiii
Abstract.....	xiv
<b>Chapter 1 Literature Review.....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 <i>Solanum lycopersicum</i> .....	1
1.2 <i>Arabidopsis thaliana</i> .....	2
1.3 Two-component systems (TCS).....	4
1.3.1 Two-component systems in prokaryotes.....	5
1.3.2 Two-component systems in eukaryotes.....	6
1.3.3 Histidine protein kinase.....	7
1.4 Seed development.....	15
1.4.1 Seed development stages in tomato plant.....	17
1.5 The expression of response regulators during seed development.....	18
1.6 Seed storage proteins.....	20
1.7 Plant responses to wounding.....	21
1.8 Aims of the study.....	24
<b>Chapter 2 Materials and Methods.....</b>	<b>25</b>
2.1 Plant materials.....	25
2.2 Bioinformatic analysis.....	26
2.3 Primer design.....	26
2.4 RNA extraction .....	27
2.4.1 cDNA synthesis.....	27
2.4.2 PCR reaction.....	28
2.5 Sequencing of <i>SIRR2</i> .....	28
2.5.1 PCR purification.....	29
2.5.2 Cloning of <i>SIRR2</i> .....	29
2.5.3 <i>E.coli</i> transformation.....	30
2.5.4 Plasmid DNA isolation and sequencing.....	30

2.6 Promoter sequence analysis.....	31
2.6.1 Primer design.....	31
2.6.2 Genomic extraction.....	32
2.7 Morphological analysis.....	32
2.8 Wounding experiments.....	33
2.9 Gene construction of proARR22::ARR22_NS, proARR22::ARR22_S, and proARR22::SIRR22.....	33
2.9.1 Cloning into pCR8/GW/TOPO vector.....	33
2.9.2 Cloning of pENTR11 vector.....	37
2.9.3 Construction of ARR22pro::ARR22 CDS and ARR22pro::SIRR22CDS in pENTR11 vector.....	39
2.9.4 LR reaction.....	40
2.9.5 Agrobacterium transformation of proARR22::ARR22_NS, proARR22::ARR22_S, and proARR22::SIRR22.....	43
2.9.6 Arabidopsis transformation.....	43
2.10 Screening of transgenic plants.....	44
2.11 Wounding experiment of transgenic plants.....	45
<b>Chapter 3 Genomic Characterization of Solanum lycopersicum response regulators.....</b>	<b>46</b>
3.1 Introduction.....	46
3.2 Identification of response regulators in tomato.....	47
3.3 Phylogenetic tree.....	49
3.4 Mapping of response regulator genes in the genome of Solanum lycopersicum.....	52
3.5 Comparative sequence analysis.....	54
3.6 Sequence analysis of SIRR22.....	55
3.7 Relationship between ARR22 and SIRR22.....	58
3.8 Synteny .....	59
3.9 Discussion.....	62
3.9.1 Genomic comparison of ARR22 and SIRR22.....	63
3.9.2 Sequence analysis of SIRR1, SIRR8, SIRR10, and SIRR16.....	64
3.9.3 Synteny analysis.....	64
3.10 Conclusions.....	66
<b>Chapter 4 Expression of Tomato Response Regulators during fruit and seed development.....</b>	<b>67</b>

4.1 Introduction.....	67
4.2 Development of tomato fruit.....	68
4.3 Gene expression in tomato tissues.....	71
4.3.1 RT-PCR analysis of <i>SIRR22</i> , <i>SIRR1</i> , <i>SIRR8</i> , <i>SIRR10</i> , and <i>SIRR16</i> in tomato flowers, roots, and leaves.....	72
4.3.2 RT-PCR analysis of <i>SIRR22</i> , <i>SIRR3</i> , <i>SIRR6</i> , <i>SIRR8</i> , and <i>SIRR10</i> in tomato pericarp tissue.....	74
4.3.3 RT-PCR analysis of <i>SIRR22</i> , <i>SIRR3</i> , <i>SIRR6</i> , <i>SIRR8</i> , and <i>SIRR10</i> in tomato seeds.....	75
4.3.4 RT-PCR analysis of <i>SIRR22</i> , SSP1, SSP2, 11S globulin, and cyclin in wounded tomato seeds.....	77
4.4 Discussion.....	80
4.4.1 The gene expression of response regulators in tomato flowers, leaves, roots, and pericarp tissues.....	81
4.4.2 The gene expression of response regulators in tomato seeds.....	82
4.4.3 RT-PCR analysis of wounding.....	83
4.5 Conclusions.....	86
<b>Chapter 5 Wounding analysis of transgenic plants.....</b>	<b>88</b>
5.1 Introduction.....	88
5.2 Methodology.....	90
5.2.1 Generation of transgenic plants.....	90
5.2.2 Sample preparation.....	91
5.3 Results.....	92
5.3.1 Generation of constructs and transformation of plant material.....	92
5.3.2 Screening of transgenic plants.....	94
5.3.3 Transgene inheritance.....	97
5.3.4 RT-PCR analysis of response regulator 22 in respond to wounding.....	99
5.3.5 The effect of wounding on the expression of genes encoding seed storage proteins.....	100
5.4 The effect of wounding on the expression of gene involved in protein metabolism.....	103
5.5 Discussion.....	104
5.5.1 Expression of transgene <i>ARR22</i> and <i>SIRR22</i> in transgenic plants.....	105
5.5.2 The impact of wounding on the expression of seed storage proteins and peptides involved in protein metabolism.....	107
5.6 Conclusions.....	108
<b>Chapter 6 General discussion.....</b>	<b>110</b>

References.....	119
Appendix 1.....	132

## List of Figures

<b>Figure 1.1:</b> <i>Solanum lycopersicum</i> var. Ailsa Craig.....	1
<b>Figure 1.2:</b> <i>Arabidopsis thaliana</i> ecotype Col-0.....	3
<b>Figure 1.3:</b> Two-component systems. A) Basic two-component system. B) Multistep His-to-Asp phosphorelay system. H: histidine; D: Aspartate; P: phosphoryl group; Hpt: histidine-containing phosphotransfer.....	5
<b>Figure 1.4:</b> List of <i>Arabidopsis</i> histidine kinases. A) Phylogenetic tree of histidine kinases in <i>Arabidopsis</i> . Blue circle: cytokinin receptors; brown circle: ethylene receptors; red circle: phytochromes.....	8
<b>Figure 1.5:</b> Structure of histidine kinase in <i>Arabidopsis</i> . Black box: transmembrane domain; H: histidine residue; D: Aspartate residue; CHASE: cyclises/histidine kinase associated sensory extracellular; GAF: protein-protein interaction domain; X: histidine kinase-like domain with lack of multiple residues; PAS: Per/Arndt/Sim; and HKRD: histidine kinase related domain.....	9
<b>Figure 1.6:</b> Structure of type-B and type-A response regulators. RD: receiver domain; OD: output domain; DDK: conserved motif; AD: acidic domain; GARP: <i>Golden2-ARR-Psr1</i> (DNA-binding motif).....	12
<b>Figure 1.7:</b> Seed structure of <i>Arabidopsis</i> at mature green stage. ....	16
<b>Figure 1.8:</b> Seed development and germination of tomato cv Moneymaker seeds.....	17
<b>Figure 1.9:</b> Histochemical localization of <i>ARR22</i> expression in <i>Arabidopsis</i> seeds. A) GUS activity at seed:funiculus junction in mature siliques. Bar=100 µm. B) GUS activity at seed:funiculus after wounding. Arrows - site of wounding with sharp needle.....	19
<b>Figure 2.1:</b> pDrive cloning vector from Qiagen.....	29
<b>Figure 2.2:</b> pCR®8/GW/TOPO vector.....	36
<b>Figure 2.3:</b> PENTR11 vector with the restriction sites.....	38
<b>Figure 2.4:</b> Destination vector of pGBGWG.....	41
<b>Figure 3.1:</b> Phylogenetic tree of <i>Solanum lycopersicum</i> response regulators (SIRRs) and <i>Arabidopsis thaliana</i> response regulators (ARRs). Genes were classified on the basis of their predicted amino acid sequence using ClustalW2. Clades: A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H, I. Red value: bootstrap values. Outgroup: contains only a single response regulator.....	51
<b>Figure 3.2:</b> Genomic distribution of response regulators on the chromosomes of <i>Solanum lycopersicum</i> . The scale is in megabase pairs. '*' genes of interest.....	52

<b>Figure 3.3:</b> Multiple amino acid alignment of SIRR10, SIRR25, SIRR26, SIRR27, and SIRR28. **: identical amino acid; : conserved substitution; .: semi-conserved substitution.....	53
<b>Figure 3.4:</b> Predicted genomic structures of A) <i>SIRR1</i> , B) <i>SIRR8</i> , C) <i>SIRR10</i> , and D) <i>SIRR16</i> . Red arrows: position of primers.....	54
<b>Figure 3.5:</b> Predicted genomic structure. A) <i>ARR22</i> ; B) <i>SIRR22</i> old version; C) <i>SIRR22</i> new version. Red arrows: primers for gene expression analysis. Blue arrow: Primers for 5'UTR analysis. F: forward primer; R: reverse primer.....	56
<b>Figure 3.6:</b> Analysis of <i>SIRR22</i> using 7 sets of primer (F1, F2, F3, F4, F5, F6, and F7). A) PCR using genomic DNA of tomato seeds. B) RT-PCR using cDNA of tomato seeds.....	57
<b>Figure 3.7:</b> Pairwise alignment of ARR22 and SIRR22 at amino acid level. **: identical amino acid; : conserved substitution; .: semi-conserved substitution.....	58
<b>Figure 3.8:</b> Intra-cross species blocks of <i>SIRR22</i> ( <i>Solyc11g071630</i> ) and <i>ARR22</i> ( <i>At3g04280</i> ).....	59
<b>Figure 3.9:</b> Comparative sequence analysis A) <i>SIRR1</i> , B) <i>SIRR8</i> , and C) <i>SIRR16</i> . Red line: Gene of interest <i>SIRR1</i> , <i>SIRR8</i> , and <i>SIRR16</i> paired with their orthologues genes in <i>Arabidopsis</i> ; blue line: other genes. The figure is displayed within 100 kb region.....	60
<b>Figure 4.1:</b> A) Fresh weight of tomato fruit and pericarp tissue during development. B) Whole tomato fruit and cross sections of fruit at different stages showing pericarp development and lycopene accumulation. Stage 0, 4, 8, 10, 15, 20, 30, and 40 days after flowering (DAF), breaker (B), and ripe (R). Error bar: $\pm$ SEM. Number of samples (n)=10.....	70
<b>Figure 4.2:</b> A) Fresh weight and number of seeds in tomato fruit at 10 DAF until ripening. B) Images of seeds in five development stages, 15, 20, 30, and 40 days after flowering, breaker (B), and ripen (R). Error bar: $\pm$ SEM. Number of samples (n)= 10.....	71
<b>Figure 4.3:</b> Predicted genomic structure of A) <i>SIRR1</i> ; B) <i>SIRR8</i> ; C) <i>SIRR10</i> ; D) <i>SIRR16</i> ; and E) <i>SIRR22</i> . Red arrows: Forward (F) and reverse (R) primers.....	72
<b>Figure 4.4:</b> RT-PCR analysis of <i>SIRR22</i> , <i>SIRR3</i> , <i>SIRR6</i> , <i>SIRR8</i> , and <i>SIRR10</i> in tomato tissues: unopened flower (CF); opened flower (OF); root (R); leaf (L); and gDNA (genomic DNA) as a positive control. <i>UBQ 10</i> is a housekeeping gene.....	74
<b>Figure 4.5:</b> RT-PCR analysis of <i>SIRR22</i> , <i>SIRR3</i> , <i>SIRR6</i> , <i>SIRR8</i> , and <i>SIRR10</i> in tomato pericarp tissues: 15, 20, 30, and 40 DAF, breaker (B), and ripe (R) stages. <i>UBQ 10</i> is a housekeeping gene. Stage: 15, 20, 30, 40 Days after flowering (DAF), breaker (B), and ripe (R).....	75
<b>Figure 4.6:</b> RT-PCR analysis of <i>SIRR22</i> , <i>SIRR3</i> , <i>SIRR6</i> , <i>SIRR8</i> , and <i>SIRR10</i> in tomato seeds isolated from developing fruit. <i>UBQ10</i> act as a housekeeping gene. Stage: 0, 4, 8, 10, 15, 20, 30, 40 days after flowering, breaker (B), and ripe (R).....	77

<b>Figure 4.7:</b> RT-PCR analysis of <i>SIRR22</i> , seed storage protein 1, seed storage protein 2, <i>11S globulin</i> , and <i>cyclin A1</i> in five development stages of 10, 20, and 40 DAF, breaker (B), and ripe (R). <i>UBQ10</i> act as a housekeeping gene. 0M: control at 0 minutes; UW: 90 minutes of unwounded seeds; W: 90 minutes of wounded seeds.....	80
<b>Figure 5.1:</b> Genomic structure of <i>ARR22</i> showing the location of T-DNA. Open triangle: site of T-DNA insertion.....	90
<b>Figure 5.2:</b> PCR screening for generation of pENTR11 pro <i>ARR22</i> . A) <i>ARR22</i> promoter isolated from genomic DNA of <i>Arabidopsis</i> ecotype WS; B) PCR colonies of cloned pCR8/GW/TOPO vector containing the <i>ARR22</i> promoter; C) Plasmid DNA of cloned pCR8/GW/TOPO vector; D) <i>ARR22</i> promoter isolated from the plasmid; E) PCR colonies of pENTR11 vector containing the <i>ARR22</i> promoter; F) Plasmid DNA of cloned pENTR11 vector. a&b: two positive colonies. i, ii, and iii: PCR using different set of primers. Primers: pro <i>ARR22</i> _F&R (1157 bp); M13_F&pro <i>ARR22</i> _R (1304 bp); pro <i>ARR22</i> _F&M13_R (1327 bp); PE11_F&pro <i>ARR22</i> _R (1211 bp); pro <i>ARR22</i> _F&PE11_R (1325 bp).....	92
<b>Figure 5.3:</b> PCR analysis for generation of 1) pro <i>ARR22::ARR22_NS</i> ; 2) pro <i>ARR22::ARR22_S</i> ; 3) pro <i>ARR22::SIRR22</i> . A) PCR colonies of cloned pCR8/GW/TOPO vector containin <i>ARR22</i> or <i>SIRR22</i> ; B) Plasmid DNA of cloned pCR8/GW/TOPO vector; C) <i>ARR22</i> or <i>SIRR22</i> coding sequence isolated from the plasmid; D) PCR colonies of cloned pENTR11 pro <i>ARR22::ARR22</i> or <i>SIRR22</i> ; E) Plasmid DNA of cloned pENTR11 vector; F) PCR colonies of cloned PGBWG vector containing the insert; G) Plasmid DNA of cloned PGBWG vector; H) PCR colonies of transformed <i>Agrobacterium</i> containing the insert; I) Plasmid DNA isolated from the <i>Agrobacterium</i> . a&b: two different colonies. i, ii, iii, and iv: PCR using different set of primers. Primers: M13_F& <i>ARR22</i> _R (529bp); M13_F& <i>SIRR22</i> _R (526bp); <i>ARR22</i> _F&R (429bp); <i>SIRR22</i> _F&R (426bp); PE11_F& <i>ARR22</i> _R (1648bp); <i>SIRR22</i> _F&PE11_R (685bp); pro <i>ARR22</i> _F& <i>ARR22</i> _R (1600bp); PE11_F&pro <i>ARR22</i> _R (1211bp); pro <i>ARR22</i> _F&R (1157bp); pro <i>ARR22</i> _F&PE11_R (1750bp); PE11_F& <i>SIRR22</i> _R (1645bp); <i>ARR22</i> _F&GFP_PGW <sub>B5</sub> _R (729bp); <i>SIRR22</i> _F&GFP_PGW <sub>B5</sub> _R (726bp); pro <i>ARR22</i> _F& GFP_PGW <sub>B5</sub> _R (containing <i>ARR22</i> ) (1900bp); pro <i>ARR22</i> _F& <i>ARR22</i> _R (1600bp); pro <i>ARR22</i> _F&GFP_PGW <sub>B5</sub> _R (containing <i>SIRR22</i> ) (1897bp).....	94
<b>Figure 5.4:</b> PCR analysis of putative transgenic plants from the T0 line. Primers that were used are: A&B) insert <i>ARR22/SIRR22_CDS_F&amp; GFP_PGW<sub>B5</sub>_R</i> ; C&D) T-DNA: At3JR2F& SK13WIS <i>UBQ 10</i> acted as a housekeeping gene. P: plasmid containing the insert as positive control; WS: <i>Arabidopsis</i> type <i>Wassileweskija</i> as negative control 1, 2, 3 are three independent replicates.....	95
<b>Figure 5.5:</b> Screening of transgenic plants using PCR in T1 line. Primers that were used for A) insert: GFP_PGW <sub>B5</sub> _F and GFP_PGW <sub>B5</sub> _R, B) <i>SIRR22_CDS_F&amp; GFP_PGW<sub>B5</sub>_R</i> ; C&D) T-DNA: At3JR2F& SK13WIS. <i>UBQ 10</i> acts as a housekeeping gene. P: plasmid containing the insert; WS: <i>Arabidopsis</i> ecotype <i>Wassileweskija</i> . 1, 2, 3 are three independent replicates.....	97
<b>Figure 5.6:</b> Punnett square of generation homozygous transgenic plant. White: chromosome without the presence of the insert; red: chromosome containing the insert of <i>ARR22</i> or <i>SIRR22</i> .....	98

<b>Figure 5.7:</b> RT-PCR analysis of <i>ARR22</i> in p <i>ARR22</i> , <i>ARR22KO</i> , WS, and Col-0 plants and <i>SIRR22</i> in p <i>SIRR22</i> plant. p <i>ARR22</i> : pro <i>ARR22::ARR22</i> and p <i>SIRR22</i> : pro <i>ARR22::SIRR22</i> . 0m: unwounded at 0 minute; UW: unwounded after 90 minutes; and W: wounded after 90 minutes. <i>CBP</i> is a housekeeping gene.....	100
<b>Figure 5.8:</b> RT-PCR analysis of gene expression of SSPs ( <i>CRC</i> , <i>SESA2</i> , and <i>SESA4</i> ) in <i>ARR22KO</i> , WS, and Col-0 before and after wounding. 0m: unwounded at 0 minute; UW: unwounded after 90 minutes; and W: wounded after 90 minutes. <i>CBP</i> is a housekeeping gene.....	101
<b>Figure 5.9:</b> RT-PCR of seed storage proteins ( <i>CRC</i> , <i>SESA2</i> , and <i>SESA4</i> ) in transgenic lines of p <i>ARR22</i> and p <i>SIRR22</i> in <i>ARR22KO</i> backgroundwith three independent replicates (1, 2, and 3). <i>CBP</i> acts as a housekeeping gene. 0m: unwounded at 0 minute; UW: unwounded after 90 minutes; and W: wounded after 90 minutes.....	102
<b>Figure 5.10:</b> RT-PCR analysis of gene expression of proteolysis genes in unwounded and wounded siliques of <i>Arabidopsis</i> Samples are transgenic p <i>ARR22</i> and p <i>SIRR22</i> , <i>ARR22KO</i> , WS, and Col-0 lines. 0m: unwounded at 0 minute; UW: unwounded after 90 minutes; and W: wounded after 90 minutes. <i>CBP</i> acts as a housekeeping gene.....	104

## List of Tables

<b>Table 1.1:</b> List of <i>Arabidopsis</i> response regulators.....	11
<b>Table 1.2:</b> Seed-specific transcription factor genes in <i>Arabidopsis thaliana</i> . 24H: zygote; G:globular-stage embryos; C: cotyledon embryo ; M: mature green embryo ; PM: post-mature green embryo.....	18
<b>Table 2.1:</b> cDNA synthesis reaction mixture.....	28
<b>Table 2.2:</b> PCR reaction mixture.....	28
<b>Table 2.3:</b> Ligation reaction content.....	30
<b>Table 2.4:</b> Seven forward primers and one reverse primer of <i>SIRR22</i> .....	31
<b>Table 2.5:</b> Primers for gene cloning.....	34
<b>Table 2.6:</b> Phusion High-Fidelity PCR reaction.....	35
<b>Table 2.7:</b> A-tailing reaction.....	35
<b>Table 2.8:</b> Ligation reaction.....	39
<b>Table 2.9:</b> LR reaction.....	41
<b>Table 3.1:</b> List of <i>Solanum lycopersicum</i> response regulators with original name and new name.....	48
<b>Table 5.1:</b> Chi squared test for transgenic lines in the T1 generation.....	99

## **Declaration**

I confirm that the work presented in this thesis is my own and that the use of all the literature from other sources has been properly and fully acknowledged.

---

**Iffah Hazirah Mohd Nawi**

**March, 2015**

## **Acknowledgement**

I thank Allah for the blessing.

First of all, I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my supervisors, Dr. Carol Wagstaff and Prof. Jerry Roberts for their conscientious supervision, and their belief in my ability. I am immensely grateful to the two of them for always believing in me, showing me that nothing is impossible and for providing me a beautiful rewarding learning process.

I thank the Department of Food and Nutritional Sciences at University of Reading and University of Nottingham at Sutton Bonington campus for allowing me to conduct my research, especially Prof. Zoe Wilson and Dr. Alison Ferguson for the guidance and advice during my visits to University of Nottingham. Special thanks to my Wagstaff group members for insightful and stimulating comments.

I dedicate this thesis to my beloved family, who has shown tremendous support and unconditional love, especially my husband, Hafizulazrin, my son, Harraz, and my mother, Wan Kelsom. I am forever indebted to my dearest friends especially Kak Faiz, Kak Naila, Kak Yati, Ika, and Ainul for their continuous help and support.

Last but not least, I would like to thanks to my employer, Universiti Malaysia Terengganu and my sponsor, Ministry of Education Malaysia.

## Abbreviations

Asp	Aspartate
bp	Base pair
cDNA	Complementary deoxyribonucleic acid
DNA	Deoxyribonucleic acid
dNTP	Deoxyribonucleotide triphosphate
EDTA	Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid
GUS	$\beta$ -glucuronidase
His	Histidine
HPt	Histidine phosphotransfer
L	Liter
LB	Luria-bertani
Mb	Megabase
Mg	Miligram
mM	Milimolar
ng	Nanogram
PCR	Polymerase chain reaction
RNA	Ribonucleic acid
RT-PCR	Reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction
TAE	Tris-acetate-EDTA
v/v	volume/volume
w/v	weight/volume
$\mu$ g	microgram
$\mu$ L	microliter
$\mu$ M	micromolar

## Abstract

Environmental changes such as light, temperature, nutrients level, and pathogen attack are threatening factors to plants. However, the plant has a system to adapt with these changes, known as a two-component system (TCS). The system consists of histidine kinase (HK) that can sense the environmental signal and a response regulator (RR) that respond to the signal. This study was conducted to analyse the genes encoded for response regulator. *Arabidopsis response regulator 22 (ARR22)* (*At3g04280*) is one type of response regulator that was found in seed and flower and involved in wound signalling. The *ARR22* can regulate the expression of seed storage proteins and proteolysis in wound induction. However, the role of *ARR22* during wounding that mimic the pathogen attack is still remains unclear. This study had identified a potential orthologue to *ARR22* in fleshy fruit of tomato known as *Solanum lycopersicum response regulator 22 (SIRR22)* (*Solyc11g071630*) and four other *SIRRs* which are *SIRR1* (*Solyc05g054390*), *SIRR8* (*Solyc10g079700*), *SIRR10* (*Solyc11g066220*), and *SIRR16* (*Solyc06g048930*). The finding is important as it indicate that the mechanism during wounding is conserved between dry and fleshy fruit types.

*SIRR22* has 60% of amino acid similarity and is located within the same group with *ARR22* in phylogenetic tree. RT-PCR analysis indicated that *SIRR22* was only been expressed in seed at 0 to 20 days after flowering (DAF). The gene also involved in wound signalling as it was down-regulated in 90 minutes post-wounding in seed. Wounding also caused down-regulated of *SSP2* (*Solyc08g080490*) in 10 DAF wounded seed while *SSP1* (*Solyc07g064210*) was degraded after 90 minutes of wounding in seeds at stage 20 and 40

DAF, and breaker. Meanwhile, the expression of *Cyclin A1* (*Solyc11g005090*) was only been up-regulated at later stage (40 DAF, breaker, and ripen) following wounded seeds. I hypothesise that proteolytic enzyme degraded the storage proteins in order to prevent uptake of resources into a non-viable seed during wound induction. Further analysis was conducted to identify whether *SIRR22* is a functional orthologue to *ARR22* or not. This was performed by generated transgenic *Arabidopsis* plant in *ARR22KO* background containing transgene *ARR22* and *SIRR22* to see if they would complement and rescue the molecular phenotype in wound induction. However, this analysis was unable to detect the expression of transgene *ARR22* and *SIRR22* and thus cannot confirm if *SIRR22* is orthologue to *ARR22*. Even though, based on the genomic and gene expression analysis, *SIRR22* did have similarity to *ARR22* and thus further study is needed to confirm the hypothesis.