

EFFECTS OF DIFFERENT PRESERVATIVES AND  
SOME EXPOSURE METHODS OF *CLAUSINELLA*  
*CHLOROTICA* (MUR) FISHES IN 1983  
MUSKAT COUNTRY STUDY

SHY 1983

SARINIA SAINS DAN TEKNOLOGI  
UNIVERSITI SAINS DAN TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA  
2016



THE USE OF TWO DIFFERENT PRESERVATIVES AND DNA EXTRACTION  
METHODS OF *CLAUSINELLA CHLOROTICA* (CLAM) TISSUES IN  
PCR AMPLIFICATION STUDY

By

Ting Shy Lang

Research Report submitted in partial fulfillment of  
the requirements for the degree of  
Bachelor of Science (Biological Sciences)

Department of Biological Sciences  
Faculty of Science and Technology  
KOLEJ UNIVERSITI SAINS DAN TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA  
2006

This project should be cited as:

Ting, S.L. 2006. The use of two different preservatives and DNA extraction methods of *Clausinella chlorotica* (clam) tissues in PCR amplification study. Undergraduate thesis, Bachelor of Science in Biological Sciences, Faculty of Science and Technology, Kolej Universiti Sains dan Teknologi Malaysia 44p.

No part of this project report may be produced by any mechanical, photographic, or electronic process, or in form of phonographic recording, nor may it be stored in a retrieval system, transmitted, or otherwise copied for public or private use, without written permission from the author and the supervisor (s) of the project.

1100046065



JABATAN SAINS BIOLOGI  
FAKULTI SAINS DAN TEKNOLOGI  
KOLEJ UNIVERSITI SAINS DAN TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

PENGAKUAN DAN PENGESAHAN LAPORAN  
PROJEK PENYELIDIKAN I DAN II

Adalah ini diakui dan disahkan bahawa laporan penyelidikan bertajuk: THE USE OF TWO DIFFERENT PRESERVATIVES AND DNA EXTRACTION METHODS OF *CLAUSINELLA CHLOROTICA* (CLAM) TISSUES IN PCR AMPLIFICATION STUDY oleh Ting Shy Lang, no. matrik: UK 7817 telah diperiksa dan semua pembetulan yang disarankan telah dilakukan. Laporan ini dikemukakan kepada Jabatan Sains Biologi sebagai memenuhi sebahagian daripada keperluan memperolehi Ijazah Sarjana Muda Sains- Sains Biologi, Fakulti Sains dan Teknologi, Kolej Universiti Sains dan Teknologi Malaysia.

Disahkan oleh:

Penyelia Utama

**WAN BAYANI WAN OMAR**

Nama:

**PENSYARAH**

Cop Rasmi:

Jabatan Sains Biologi  
Fakulti Sains dan Teknologi  
Kolej Universiti Sains dan Teknologi Malaysia  
21030 Kuala Terengganu, Terengganu.

Tarikh: 30/4/2006

Penyelia Kedua (jika ada)

**Dr. Zaleha Binti Kassim**  
Pensyarah

Jabatan Sains Samudera  
Fakulti Sains dan Teknologi  
Kolej Universiti Sains dan Teknologi Malaysia  
21030 Kuala Terengganu

Nama:

Cop Rasmi

Tarikh: 30/4/2006

Ketua Jabatan Sains Biologi

Nama:

**PROF. MADYA DR. NAKISAH BT. MAT AMIN**  
Ketua

Cop Rasmi:

Jabatan Sains Biologi  
Fakulti Sains dan Teknologi  
Kolej Universiti Sains dan Teknologi Malaysia  
(KUSTEM)  
21030 Kuala Terengganu

Tarikh: 30/4/2006

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and foremost, I like to give thanks to my God for granting me wisdom, understanding and knowledge. With His blessings, I was granted with spiritual strength to fully accomplish my thesis from the beginning until the end. Despite from that, I would like to express my gratitude to all who have helped me to complete my project. The first individual I would like to acknowledge is my supervisor Miss Wan Bayani binti Wan Omar for trusting me to handle this project. Miss Wan was patient and professionalism enough to help me to make the right decision when my project started to run. Without her knowledge of these technologies, her time spent instructing about these procedures and invaluable assistance with unlimited access to any equipment in lab, I would not have been able to begin this project. Next I would like to thanks to my co-supervisor Dr. Zaleha binti Kassim, who assisted me for pointing out some guidelines to solve some problem in an earlier draft of this project. Indeed, I would like to express my gratitude to Biological Science Department and KUSTEM for the permission to use the lab and all the facilities in order to accomplish my project. Last but not least, I would like to thank all the lab assistances for their guidance and cooperation during the laboratory work.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b>	ii
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	vi
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	vii
<b>LIST OF SYMBOLS</b>	viii
<b>LIST OF APPENDICES</b>	ix
<b>ABSTRACT</b>	x
<b>ABSTRAK</b>	xi
<b>CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION</b>	1
1.1 Objectives	3
<b>CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	
2.1 Morphology and Taxonomy	4
2.2 Habitat and Distribution	7
2.3 Feeding and Reproduction	7
2.4 Tissue Preservation	8
2.5 Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR)	9
2.6 Random Amplified Polymorphism DNA (RAPD)	12

## **CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY**

3.1	Collection of Samples	13
3.2	Tissue Preservation	13
3.3	DNA Extraction	14
3.3.1	Promega Wizard Genomic Purification Kit	14
3.3.2	Phenol-Chloroform Extraction	15
3.4	Analysis	17
3.4.1	Analysis of DNA Quality By Agarose Gel Electrophoresis	17
3.4.2	Measurement of DNA Purity and Quantity	18
3.4.3	PCR Amplification and Screening RAPD Primers	18

## **CHAPTER 4 RESULTS**

4.1	Structure and Physical Properties of Preserved Tissue	21
4.2	Purity and Quantity of Genomic DNA	23
4.3	DNA Extraction	25
4.4	Screening of RAPD Primers	28

## **CHAPTER 5 DISCUSSION**

5.1	Structure and Physical Properties of Preserved Tissue	32
5.2	Purity and Quantity of Genomic DNA	33
5.3	DNA Extraction	34
5.4	Screening of RAPD Primers	36



<b>CHAPTER 6 CONCLUSION</b>	<b>37</b>
<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>38</b>
<b>APPENDICES</b>	<b>41</b>
<b>CURRICULUM VITAE</b>	<b>44</b>

## LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
3.1	Code, sequence, nucleotide length and G+C content of primers used in the Random Amplified Polymerase DNA (RAPD) analysis	20
4.1	Purity and quantity of genomic DNA extracted from Wizard Genomic Purification Kit for both ethanol and TNES-urea buffer	24
4.2	Purity and quantity of genomic DNA extracted from Phenol-Chloroform for both ethanol and TNES-urea buffer	24
4.3	Total number of fragments of RAPD of <i>C.chlorotica</i> from two different preservatives	31

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
2.1	The classification of <i>Clausinella chlorotica</i> (IT IS Standard Report: <i>Clausinella</i> , Taxonomy and Nomenclature, 1996)	5
2.2	(a) External and (b) internal view of <i>C.chlorotica</i>	6
2.3	An outline of Polymerase Reaction Chain (PCR)	11
4.1	Tissue preserved in 95% ethanol	22
4.2	Tissue preserved in TNES-urea buffer	22
4.3	Genomic DNA extracted from <i>C.chlorotica</i> preserved by two different preservative using Wizard Genomic Purification Kit on 1.0% agarose gel and stained with 0.5µg/mL ethidium bromide.	26
4.4	Genomic DNA extracted from <i>C.chlorotica</i> preserved by two different preservative using Phenol-Chloroform Extraction on 1.0% agarose gel and stained with 0.5µg/mL ethidium bromide.	27
4.5	RAPD banding patterns for primer screening from DNA of <i>C.chlorotica</i> preserved in ethanol.	29
4.6	RAPD banding patterns for primer screening from DNA of <i>C.chlorotica</i> preserved in TNES.	30

## LIST OF SYMBOLS

bp	base pairs
C	Cytosine
DNA	Deoxyribonucleic Acid
dNTP	2' –deoxynucleoside- 5'-triphosphate
EDTA	Ethylene Diamide Tetra-Acetate
G	Guanine
NaCl	Sodium Chloride
OD	Optical Density
PCR	Polymerase Chain Reaction
RAPD	Random Amplified Polymorphic DNA
rpm	revolution per minute
SDS	Sodium Dodecyl Sulphate
TBE	Tris-Borate-EDTA buffer
TE	10mM Tris-HCl, 1 mM EDTA
TNES	Tris-NaCl-EDTA-SDS
VDS	Video Documentation System

## LIST OF APPENDICES

<b>Appendix</b>	<b>Page</b>
1. Lysis Buffer	42
2. TBE buffer	42
3. TE Buffer	42
4. TNES-urea Buffer	43

## ABSTRACT

The aims of this study were to measure the purity and quantity of DNA of *Clausinella chlorotica* from TNES-urea buffer and 95% ethanol and to compare the efficiency of Wizard Genomic Purification Kit and Phenol-chloroform method for DNA extraction. The clams, *Clausinella chlorotica* were collected from Setiu Wetland, Terengganu and follow by tissue preservation in 95% ethanol and TNES-urea buffer respectively. Then DNA was extracted using Wizard Genomic Purification Kit and Phenol-Chloroform extraction methods. Quality and quantity was assessed by agarose gel electrophoresis and UV spectrophotometer and followed by PCR amplification. In this study, Phenol-Chloroform extraction method produced more satisfactory results in DNA extraction for both preservatives. The overall purity of genomic DNA for both preservative was in the range of 1.181 to 1.742 and the quantity of DNA was in the range of 245 to 892.5  $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ . The purity and quantity of genomic DNA for samples that preserved in TNES-urea buffer were ranged from 1.181 to 1.208 and 245 to 290  $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ . So, TNES-urea buffer was found to be most efficient and could prevent degradation of DNA for at least three months.

**PENGGUNAAN DUA JENIS PENGAWET DAN KAEDAH  
PENGEKSTRAKAN DNA YANG BERBEZA DARIPADA TISU-TISU  
*CLAUSINELLA CHLOROTICA* (KEPAH) DALAM KAJIAN AMPLIFIKASI  
PCR**

**ABSTRAK**

Tujuan kajian ini adalah untuk mengukur ketulenan dan kuantiti DNA daripada TNES-urea buffer dan 95% etanol dan membandingkan keberkesanan Wizard Genomic Purification Kit dan kaedah fenol-kloroform bagi pengekstrakan DNA. Kepah *Clausinella chlorotica* dikutip dari Setiu Wetland, Terengganu dan diikuti dengan pengawetan tisu dalam 95% etanol dan TNES-urea buffer. Kemudian DNA diekstrakkan dengan menggunakan kaedah Wizard Genomic Purification Kit dan fenol-kloroform. Kualiti dan kuantiti akan ditafsirkan dengan menggunakan elektroforasi gel agarose dan UV Spektrofotometer diikuti dengan PCR amplifikasi. Dalam kajian ini, kaedah fenol-kloroform menghasilkan keputusan yang lebih memuaskan dalam pengekstrakan DNA untuk kedua-dua jenis bahan pengawet. Ketulenan keseluruhan genomik DNA untuk kedua-dua pengawet adalah antara 1.181-1.742 dan kuantiti DNA adalah antara 245-892.5 $\mu$ g/mL. Manakala ketulenan dan kuantiti genomik DNA untuk tisu yang diawet dalam TNES-urea buffer adalah antara 1.181-1.208 dan 245-290 $\mu$ g/mL. TNES-urea buffer didapati merupakan bahan pengawet yang paling sesuai dan ia boleh mencegah DNA daripada degradasi sekurang-kurangnya tiga bulan.