

STUDY ON MEMBRANE PROPERTIES AND EFFICIENCY
OF POLYMER MEMBRANES FOR
DIETARY SUPPLEMENT

DIETARY SUPPLEMENT


UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI
MARA

2007

C/m 4261



LP 35 FST 1 2007



1100051103
A study on membranes properties and efficacy of polymeric
membrances for bacterial removal / Raihana Rahmat.

PERPUSTAKAAN
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA TERENGGANU (UMT)
21030 KUALA TERENGGANU

1100051103

Lihat sebelah

**HAK MILIK
PERPUSTAKAAN UMT**

**A STUDY ON MEMBRANES PROPERTIES AND EFFICACY OF POLYMERIC
MEMBRANES FOR BACTERIAL REMOVAL**

By

Raihana binti Rahmat

**Research report submitted in partial fulfillment of
the requirements for the degree of
Bachelor of Technology (Environmental)**

**Department of Engineering Science
Faculty of Science and Technology
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA TERENGGANU
2006/2007**

1100051103



**JABATAN SAINS KEJURUTERAAN
FAKULTI SAINS DAN TEKNOLOGI
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA TERENGGANU**

**PENGAKUAN DAN PENGESAHAN LAPORAN
PROJEK PENYELIDIKAN I DAN II**

Adalah ini diakui dan disahkan bahawa laporan penyelidikan bertajuk:

A STUDY ON THE MEMBRANES PROPERTIES AND EFFICACY OF POLYMERIC MEMBRANES FOR BACTERIAL REMOVAL oleh Raihana binti Rahmat No.Matrik UK 8098 telah diperiksa dan semua pembetulan yang disarankan telah dilakukan. Laporan ini dikemukakan kepada Jabatan Sains Kejuruteraan sebagai memenuhi sebahagian daripada keperluan memperoleh Ijazah SARJANA MUDA TEKNOLOGI (ALAM SEKITAR), Fakulti Sains dan Teknologi, Universiti Malaysia Terengganu.

Disahkan oleh:

Penyelia Utama

Nama: Dr. Nora'aini binti Ali

Cop Rasmi: **DR. NORA'AINI BINTI ALI**
Ketua
Jabatan Sains Kejuruteraan
Fakulti Sains dan Teknologi
Universiti Malaysia Terengganu
21030 Kuala Terengganu

Tarikh: 24/5/07

Penyelia Kedua (jika ada)

Nama: Dr. Mariam binti Taib

Cop Rasmi: **DR. MARIAM TAIB**
Pensyarah
Jabatan Sains Biologi
Fakulti Sains dan Teknologi
Universiti Malaysia Terengganu
21030 Kuala Terengganu.

Tarikh: 31/7/07



Penyelia Ketiga (jika ada)

Nama: Encik Asmadi bin Ali @ Mahmud

Cop Rasmi: **ASMADI ALI @ MAHMUD**
Pensyarah
Jabatan Sains Kejuruteraan
Fakulti Sains dan Teknologi
Universiti Malaysia Terengganu
21030 Kuala Terengganu.

Tarikh: 24/5/07



Ketua Jabatan Sains Kejuruteraan

Nama: Dr. Nora'aini binti Ali

Cop Rasmi: **DR. NORA'AINI BINTI ALI**
Ketua
Jabatan Sains Kejuruteraan
Fakulti Sains dan Teknologi
Universiti Malaysia Terengganu
21030 Kuala Terengganu

Tarikh:

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Assalamualaikum w.b.t...

First of all, I would like to thank Allah for blessing me with such a wonderful life and giving me the strength to complete my thesis. I would like to express my appreciation and gratitude to my supervisor, Dr.Nora'aini Ali for her invaluable guidance, support and constructive criticisms throughout this study. Not forgotten to my co-supervisor, Mr Asmadi Ali and Dr Mariam Taib as an external advisor for their assistance.

A measurable gratitude is forwarded to Mr Razali, Mr Mahmud, Mr Razman, Miss Mazalina and all the lab assistants for their full cooperation and their help during the laboratory work. Also, it is my pleasure to thank lab assistants from Microbiology Laboratory for their guidance.

I would also like to extend my warmest thanks to my family especially my parents Rahmat Mohamed and Rahimah Amjah who have given me their encouragement and support to keep me strong to complete this thesis. Also not to forget my colleagues and friends especially Junaida Amran, Justina Rose and Lee Cia Jia and those who had contribute directly or indirectly for their advice and assistance all the time when needed. May ALLAH repay for all the kindness.

TABLE OF CONTENT

	Page
FRONT COVER	i
APPROVAL FORM	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iii
TABLE OF CONTENT	iv
LIST OF TABLES	viii
LIST OF FIGURE	x
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xii
LIST OF APPENDICES	xv
ABSTRACT	xvii
ABSTRAK	xviii
CHAPTER 1	INTRODUCTION AND OBJECTIVES
1.1	Definition of Membrane 1
1.2	Membrane Process and Separation Mechanisms 2
1.3	Membrane Applications 4
1.4	Problem Statement 7
1.5	Objectives of Study 10
1.6	Scope of Study 10

CHAPTER 2

LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1	Ultrafiltration Membrane	11
2.2	Dry/Wet Phase Inversion Process	13
2.3	Asymmetric Membrane	14
2.4	Ultrafiltration Membrane Material	15
2.4.1	<i>Polysulfone (PSF)</i>	15
2.4.2	<i>N-methyl-2-pyrrolidinone (NMP)</i>	17
2.4.3	<i>Polyvinylirrolidone (PVP)</i>	18
2.5	Effect of Different Polymer Concentrations on Asymmetric Ultrafiltration Membrane Structure and Performance	19
2.6	Steric Hindrance Pore Model (SHP)	23
2.7	Application of Ultrafiltration (UF) Membrane in Bacterial Removal	
2.7.1	<i>Escherichia coli (E.coli)</i>	23
2.7.2	<i>USEPA Criteria for E.coli Bacteria</i>	25

CHAPTER 3

METHODOLOGY

3.1	Materials Selection	27
3.2	Membrane Preparation by the Phase Inversion Technique	28
3.2.1	<i>Preparation of Dope solution (Binary System)</i>	29
3.2.2	<i>Titration Method</i>	30
3.2.3	<i>Preparation of Casting Solution (Ternary System)</i>	31
3.2.4	<i>Flat-sheet Membrane Fabrication</i>	31
3.3	Membrane Performance Measurement	
3.3.1	<i>Pure Water Permeation Flux</i>	33
3.3.2	<i>Rejection of sodium chloride (NaCl)</i>	34
3.4	Membrane Characterization	
3.4.1	<i>Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)</i>	37
3.4.2	<i>Steric Hindrance Pore Model (SHP)</i>	38
3.4.3	<i>Rejection of E.coli</i>	42

CHAPTER 4

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

4.1	Effect of Polymer Concentrations on the Membrane Performance	47
4.1.1	<i>Pure Water Permeation Flux Measurement</i>	48
4.1.2	<i>Salt Water Measurement (NaCl)</i>	51
4.2	A Theoretical Approach on Membrane	56

	Characterization	
4.3	A Case Study on Bacteria (<i>Escherichia coli</i>) Rejection Using Ultrafiltration Membrane.	67
4.4	Membrane Morphology and Image Analysis	
4.4.1	<i>Effect of Polymer Concentration on Membrane Morphology Structure</i>	74
CHAPTER 5	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	
5.1	Conclusion	78
5.2	Recommendations	80
REFERENCES		77
APPENDIXES		82
CURRICULUM VITAE		106

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
1.1 Characteristics of membranes	6
2.1 The properties of Polysulfones (PSF)	16
2.2 The properties of NMP	17
3.1 The composition of PSf and NMP for each formulation (Binary system)	29
3.2 The composition (g) of PSf, NMP and PVP in 100mL of dope solution	31
3.3 Volume of NaCl and distilled water for making 50 ml of NaCl solution at different concentration ranging from 0 to 0.1M.	35
3.4 Volume of NaCl and distilled water for making 50 ml of NaCl solution at different concentration ranging from 0 to 0.01M.	36
3.5 The Ions, ion atomic or molecular weights, ion diffusivities and Stokes radii	39
4.1 Permeability coefficient for membrane with different polymer Concentration	50
4.2 Percentage of rejection by membranes with the pressure given (1-5 bar)	55

4.3	Numerical results; membrane parameter obtained from SHP model	57
4.4	Modeling results; values of J_v , Δx , Ak , r_p and $\Delta x/Ak$,	58

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
1.1	Basic Membrane Separation	3
1.2	Porous Membrane :Separation of smaller species	4
2.1	Molecular structure of Polysulfone (PSF)	15
2.2	Molecular structure of <i>N</i> -Methyl Pyrrolidone (NMP)	17
2.3	Molecular structure for polyvinylpyrrolidone	18
2.4	Diagram of total coliform, fecal coliform and <i>E. coli</i>	24
2.5	<i>E. coli</i> Bacterium	25
3.1	Flow chart of membrane preparation flow	28
3.2	Apparatus used in dope preparation	30
3.3	Semi-automated Electrically Controlled Casting Machine	32
3.4	Dead-end Permeation Cell	33
3.5	Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)	37
3.6	A schematic diagram of cultivation bacteria	43
3.7	General steps in a dilution procedure	44
4.1	Comparison among pure water flux produced with pressure given for membrane with concentration of PSf 13%, PSf 15% and PSf 17%	49
4.2	Effect of polymer concentration on NaCl performance based on (a) percentage rejection, (b) flux and (c) percentage rejection and flux	52
4.3	Rejection and membrane thickness at different polymer concentration.	59

4.4	Rejection and pore radius at different polymer concentration.	60
4.5	Fluxes and membrane thickness at different polymer concentration.	62
4.6	Fluxes and pore radius at different polymer concentration	62
4.7	Membrane thickness and membrane porosity at different polymer concentration.	63
4.8	Porosity and pore radius vs. applied pressure at different polymer concentration.	64
4.9	Rejection and reflection coefficient at different polymer concentration.	65
4.10	Bacteria rejection fluxes vs pressure for membrane with polymer concentration PSf 13% (Uf 1) at different feed concentration	68
4.11	Bacteria rejection fluxes vs pressure for membrane with polymer concentration PSf 15% (Uf 2) at different feed concentration.	70
4.12	Bacteria rejection fluxes vs pressure for membrane with polymer concentration PSf 13% (Uf 3) at different feed concentration	70
4.13	Bacteria rejection fluxes for membrane with polymer concentration Sf 13%, PSf 15% and PSf 17% at feed concentration of 10^{-5} cfu/100ml.	72
4.14	Cross-sectional images of PSf membrane at different polymer concentration; (a) PSf 13%, (b) PSf 15%, (c) PSf 17%.	76

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Symbol

CA	-	Cellulose Acetate
C_p	-	Concentration of permeate solution
C_f	-	Concentration of feed solution
C_r	-	Concentration of retentate solution
C_b	-	Bulk concentration
CFU	-	Colony-forming units
HUS	-	Hemolytic uremic syndrome
K	-	Mass transfer coefficient
MF	-	Microfiltration
MW	-	Molecular weight
NaCl	-	Sodium chloride
NF	-	Nanofiltration
NMP	-	N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone
NMWCOs	-	Molecular weight cut-offs
PES	-	Polyethersulfone
PVP	-	Polyethersulfone
P_m	-	Permeability coefficients
P_s	-	Solute permeability
PSf	-	Polysulfone

RO	-	Reverse Osmosis
R	-	Rejection
r_p	-	Pore radius
r_s	-	Solute radius
S_F, S_D	-	Distribution coefficient of solute by steric hindrance effect under diffusion and convection condition, respectively
SEM	-	Scanning Electron Microscopy
UF	-	Ultrafiltration
Uf 1	-	Polymer concentration PSf 13 %
Uf 2	-	Polymer concentration PSf 15 %
Uf 3	-	Polymer concentration PSf 17 %
Δx	-	Effective membrane thickness
η	-	Ratio of solute radius
σ	-	Reflection coefficient

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix	Page
A NaCl Calibration Curve	86
B Calculation of Dope Solution Preparation	88
C Calculation of Preparation of 250 mL of 0.01 M NaCl	90
D Pure water flux and rejection data for PSf UF membrane at polymer concentration 13%	91
E Pure water flux and rejection data for PSf UF membrane at polymer concentration 15%	93
F Pure water flux and rejection data for PSf UF membrane at polymer concentration 17%	95
G Characterization data (SHP) for PSf UF membrane at polymer concentration 13%	97
H Characterization data (SHP) for PSf UF membrane at polymer concentration 15%	98
I Characterization data (SHP) for PSf UF membrane at polymer concentration 17%	9
J Characterization data (SHP) for PSf UF membrane at polymer concentration 17%	100
K Bacteria rejection flux data for PSf UF membrane at polymer	

	concentration 15% at different feed concentration	102
L	Bacteria rejection flux data for PSf UF membrane at polymer concentration 17% at different feed concentration	104
M	Image of bacteria on nutrient agar before and after filtration with UF membrane	105

ABSTRACT

Water contains a certain number of viruses, bacteria and other microorganisms, which can cause several diseases. Production of disinfected water with constant high quality by use of membrane technology is a good alternative for the conventional treatment techniques. Ultrafiltration (UF) is a pressure-driven membrane process that separates on the basis of size and can remove bacteria. Therefore, UF can be applied for disinfecting water to avoid illnesses. The objectives of this study are to investigate the effect of polymer concentration towards the membrane structure and performance and to characterize membrane morphology and the structure parameters by using theoretical model. The membrane is fabricated from a ternary composition consisting of polysulfone (PSF) as polymer, N-metil-2-pyrolidone (NMP) as solvent and polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP) as additive from different formulation (13%, 15% and 17%) by a simple dry/wet phase inversion process using an electrically controlled Flat sheet membrane casting machine. The membrane permeability and performance were determined based on the pure water flux, sodium chloride solution permeation test and application to bacteria (*E.coli*) removals. The morphology of each membrane will be characterized using Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) and the measurement of membrane parameters was conducted using steric-hindrance pore (SHP) model. The result of rejection ability towards Cl^- ions was shown in the following manners: PSf 13% > PSf 17% > PSf 15%. While, the removal of *E.coli* achieved 100% of rejection with no bacteria content in water for all membrane produced and for the flux of rejection shows that the lowest polymer concentration (PSf 13%) had the highest flux. From the modeling result, it was found that the polymer concentration can influence the membrane performance by varying the structural details. Through the observation using scanning electron microscopy (SEM), it was shown that the produced membrane exhibited a finger like structure. These findings suggested that the best polymer concentration is lying on PSf 13% with PVP as additive. Beside, the high percentage of *E.coli* rejection obtained shown a great potential of applying ultrafiltration membrane in rejection of microorganism.

ABSTRAK

Air mengandungi jumlah virus, bakteria dan mikroorganisma tertentu yang boleh menyumbang kepada beberapa jenis penyakit. Penghasilan air yang berkualiti yang bebas dari bakteria menggunakan membran teknologi adalah pilihan yang tepat bagi teknik rawatan air. Membran penuras ultra adalah proses pemisahan bertekanan menggunakan dasar saiz bagi pemisahan bacteria. Oleh itu, membran ultra boleh digunakan sebagai pemisahan bakteria tanpa menyebabkan sebarang penyakit. Objektif bagi kajian ini adalah untuk mengkaji kesan kepekatan polimer ke atas struktur dan prestasi membran dan menganalisa struktur serta parameter membran dengan menggunakan model. Membran dihasilkan menggunakan komposisi campuran tiga bahan iaitu Polisulfon (PSf), N-metil-pyrrolidon (NMP) dan polivinilvirrolidon (PVP) dengan kandungan peratusan komposisi yang berbeza menerusi kaedah pembalikan fasa kering/basah dengan menggunakan mesin penghasilan kepingan rata elektrik. Ketelapan dan prestasi membran ditentukan berdasarkan fluk air tulen, ujian ketelapan larutan natrium dan aplikasi ke atas penyingkiran bakteria. Morfologi setiap membran dikaji menggunakan pengimbas elektron mikroskopik (SEM) dan pengukuran parameter membran dilakukan menggunakan model steric-hindrance pore (SHP). Keputusan bagi kebolehan penyingkiran terhadap ion Cl^- ditunjukkan dalam keadaan berikut: PSf 13% > PSf 17% > PSf 15%. Manakala, penyingkiran *E.coli* telah mencapai 100% tersingkir dengan tiada bakteria terkandung dalam air bagi kesemua membran yang dihasilkan tetapi bagi flux penyingkiran menunjukkan kepekatan polimer terendah (PSf 13%) mempunyai flux yang tertinggi. Daripada keputusan permodelan, didapati kepekatan polimer mempengaruhi prestasi membran menerusi perbezaan struktur secara terperinci. Penemuan ini turut mengesyorkan kepekatan polimer yang terbaik adalah pada kepekatan PSf 13% dengan PVP sebagai campuran. Selain itu, peratus penyingkiran bakteria yang tinggi menunjukkan peluang yang besar dalam mengaplikasikan teknologi membran penuras ultra bagi penyingkiran bakteria.